

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,256	04/13/2005	Ruediger Duwendag	P70494US0	4845
136 IACOBSON F	7590 11/21/200 IOLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			WEEKS, GLORIA R	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,256	DUWENDAG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GLORIA R. WEEKS	3721		

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED 3 <u>0 October 2008</u> FALS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reque	the					
1. \(\subseteq \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places	the					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places	the					
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension for have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2 set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely fill may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee !) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 						
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 	the					
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of	-					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	а					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. \(\bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other: /Rinald Rada/						

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argument that Chmielewski's reference to synchronized rollers/wheels equates to roller/wheels that rotate at the same speed is found unpersuasive. Examiner has found Chmielewski's reference to synchronized roller/wheels to suggest that the roller/wheels operate as a unit and can operate at a constant speed difference, not necessarily the same speed, particularly since the tool roller is controlled by a motor 123 that is separate and distinct from the motor that controls the drive wheel. Furthermore, motor 123 is disclosed as a variable speed motor. The arguments against Eaves et al is also found unpersuasive since, like Chmielewski, Eaves disclosed sitistinct motors 34, 41 for the tool roller and the drive wheels. Column 5 lines 13-38 of Eaves discusses synchronization of the tool roller with the drive wheels such that the difference in seeds between the drive wheels and the tool roller and the different package sizes.